

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,967	LAURA, JOSEPH G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BEN C. WANG	2192	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Brian C. Genco (Reg. No. 58,096).

(2) BEN C. WANG.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 21, and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ben C Wang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2192

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 12, 21, and 35 to overcome potential rejections under 35 USC 101 and/or 112, 2nd paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Genco provides via fax a proposed amendments on 11/9/10 and authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.